

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,824	09/29/2000	Dan Sanchez	155695-0112	9740
759	90 04/24/2003			
IRELL & MANELLA LLP			EXAMINER	
840 Newport Center Drive Suite 400 Newport Beach, CA 92660			DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3744	10
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicant(s)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	09/675,824	SANCHEZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William C Doerrler	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 /	March 2003 .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims						
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12 and 14-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Cłaim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-12 and 14-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 September 2000</u> is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	- , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/675,824

Art Unit: 3744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1,2,4-12,14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 11, from which the other claims depend, claim that the heart stabilizer is held without actuation of any fasteners. In applicant's invention one must actuate the spring biased end effector to hold the stabilizer in place. The spring jaw of applicant's invention is seen as a fastener which must be actuated to fasten the stabilizer in place.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Application/Control Number: 09/675,824

Art Unit: 3744

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1,2,4-12 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobrovolny et al in view of Green et al and Jackson. Dobrovolny et al discloses applicants' basic inventive concept, an apparatus for attaching a surgical device to a surgical table having three arms and pivoting connectors with locking knobs between each arm, substantially as claimed with the exception of using an end effector which uses a spring biased jaw member to releasably clamp a heart stabilizer which is held in place without the use of fasteners. Green et al, specifically figure 20 and the associated discussion at the top of column 21, show this feature to be old in the surgical device supporting system art. Jackson shows clamps with means to adjust the spring force. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention from the teaching of Green et al and Jackson to modify the surgical device support of Dobrovolny et al by using a spring biased jaw device with an adjustable spring force to hold a heart stabilizer to permit the movement of the device to any position so that the heart will not move and the stabilizer will be held out of the surgeons way as much as possible. In regard to claim 19 and 20, it is noted that either one or two people may adjust the position of either the device of Dobrovolny et al or Green et al and as such, such a use would have been obvious to an ordinary practitioner in the art.

Application/Control Number: 09/675,824

Art Unit: 3744

# Response to Arguments

It is noted that Green et al state in column 21 lines 1-4, "The amount of force is selected to allow instrument shaft 3 to be easily positioned by hand but would generally not allow instrument shaft 3 to slide relative to shaft grip 140 under only its own weight." This is seen as holding the stabilizer in place without the use of fasteners. This is also seen to teach the releasable jaws as claimed in claim 16 with parts 144 and 137 as shown in figure 19 forming the releasable jaws.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C Doerrler whose telephone number is (703) 308-0696. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

William C Doerrler
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3744

WCD April 22, 2003